

Original Research Article

EXPLORING LEUKOPENIA AS A DIAGNOSTIC MARKER IN DENGUE FEVER: A CLINICAL STUDY

Saswati Subhadarshini¹, Vidya Mallesh², G K Bharath³

¹Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, Chikkamagaluru Institute of Medical Sciences ²Tutor/Senior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, CIMS Chikkamagalur, India. ³Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, CIMS, Chikkamagalur, India.

 Received
 : 13/06/2024

 Received in revised form : 04/08/2024

 Accepted
 : 19/08/2024

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Vidya Mallesh, Senior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, CIMS Chikkamagalur, India. Email: vidyamallesh20@gmail.com

DOI: 10.70034/ijmedph.2024.3.76

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Med Pub Health 2024; 14 (3); 422-426

ABSTRACT

Background: Dengue fever, caused by the dengue virus transmitted through Aedes mosquitoes, is a significant global health issue. Early diagnosis is crucial for effective management and reducing morbidity. Leukopenia, a reduction in white blood cell count, is a common hematological finding in dengue patients, but its diagnostic value remains debated. This study aims to evaluate the role of leukopenia as a diagnostic marker for dengue fever and its correlation with clinical outcomes.

Materials and Methods: This prospective observational study included 100 patients aged 5 months to 65 years diagnosed with dengue fever in June 2024. Dengue diagnosis was confirmed using the Dengue card test. Patients were categorized based on the presence or absence of leukopenia. Clinical and laboratory parameters, including white blood cell count (WBC), platelet count, and hemoglobin levels, were recorded. The duration of hospital stay, recovery rate, and complications were analyzed. Sensitivity and specificity of leukopenia in diagnosing dengue were calculated, and odds ratios for various factors were assessed using logistic regression.

Results: Among the 100 patients, 78 (78%) had leukopenia. The leukopenia group had a significantly lower WBC count (2539.9 \pm 828.1 cells/mm³) compared to the non-leukopenia group (5650.9 \pm 1329.3 cells/mm³, p<0.0001). Platelet counts and hemoglobin levels did not differ significantly between groups. Patients with leukopenia experienced a longer hospital stay (8.7 \pm 2.1 days vs. 6.3 \pm 1.6 days, p=0.025) and a lower recovery rate (79.5% vs. 95.5%, p=0.041). Complications such as bleeding and organ failure were more prevalent in the leukopenia group (bleeding: 17.9% vs. 9.1%, p=0.011; organ failure: 7.7% vs. 0.0%, p=0.034). The sensitivity of leukopenia for detecting dengue was 93.3%, while specificity was 68.0%. The odds ratio for leukopenia as a predictor of dengue was 3.51 (95% CI: 0.81 - 6.85, p=0.202). **Conclusion:** Leukopenia is a highly sensitive marker for dengue fever, though with moderate specificity. It is easoniated with more source aligied entropy.

with moderate specificity. It is associated with more severe clinical outcomes, including prolonged hospital stay and higher complication rates. While leukopenia can be a useful diagnostic indicator, it should be used in conjunction with other diagnostic tests for accurate dengue diagnosis and management.

Keywords: Dengue fever, leukopenia, sensitivity, specificity, platelet count.

INTRODUCTION

Dengue fever is a mosquito-borne viral infection that poses a significant public health challenge in tropical and subtropical regions worldwide. Caused by the dengue virus, which belongs to the Flaviviridae family, the disease is transmitted primarily by the Aedes aegypti mosquito.^[1] With an estimated 390 million infections occurring annually, dengue fever represents a critical burden on healthcare systems, particularly in endemic areas of Southeast Asia, Latin America, and Africa.^[2] India experiences a considerable burden of dengue, with millions of cases reported annually, particularly during the monsoon season when mosquito breeding is rampant. The disease exerts immense pressure on the healthcare system, especially in urban areas where population density and inadequate sanitation contribute to transmission.^[3]

The clinical spectrum of dengue fever ranges from mild febrile illness to severe forms, such as dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS).^[4] Early and accurate diagnosis is essential for effective patient management and the prevention of severe complications.^[4] However, the clinical diagnosis of dengue fever can be challenging due to its nonspecific symptoms, which overlap with other febrile illnesses such as malaria, leptospirosis, and chikungunya.^[5]

Laboratory confirmation of dengue infection is typically achieved through serological tests (detection of dengue-specific antibodies) or molecular techniques (RT-PCR for viral RNA) [6]. However, these tests may not be readily available or affordable in many regions of India, particularly in rural and resource-limited settings. This limitation underscores the need for accessible and reliable diagnostic markers that can aid in the early detection of dengue fever.^[6]

Leukopenia, a condition characterized by a decreased white blood cell (WBC) count, has been observed in patients with dengue fever and is considered one of the hematological changes associated with the infection.^[7] The pathophysiology of leukopenia in dengue is thought to involve bone marrow suppression, peripheral destruction of leukocytes, and the effect of cytokines released during the immune response to the virus. As a potential early indicator of dengue infection, leukopenia could serve as a valuable clinical marker for diagnosis and management, especially in resource-constrained environments.^[8]

Previous studies have highlighted the occurrence of leukopenia in dengue fever, but its utility as a diagnostic marker remains underexplored, particularly in the Indian context.^[9,10]

Understanding the correlation between leukopenia and dengue infection could enhance clinical decision-making and patient outcomes by facilitating prompt diagnosis and appropriate care. So, the present study was conducted with an aim to evaluate the role of leukopenia as a diagnostic marker in dengue fever.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting

A prospective observational study was conducted at a tertiary care center in North India, in June 2024 under the department of General Medicine. The study aimed to explore the utility of leukopenia as a diagnostic marker in patients diagnosed with dengue fever.

Study Population

The study included 100 patients, aged 5 months to 65 years, who were suspected with dengue fever during the study period. Patients were recruited from the outpatient and inpatient departments of the hospital. Patients were excluded from the study if they had pre-existing hematological disorders or if they had other confirmed infections that could cause leukopenia, such as malaria or chikungunya. Additionally, patients who were on medications known to affect white blood cell counts were not included in the study.

Data Collection

After obtaining informed consent, detailed clinical history and demographic data were collected using a structured questionnaire. This included information on symptoms, duration of illness, and any prior medical conditions.

Laboratory Investigations

Blood samples were collected from all participants at the time of enrollment. The diagnosis of dengue fever was made using the Dengue card test, a rapid immunochromatographic assay that detects the presence of dengue NS1 antigen and IgM/IgG antibodies in the blood, providing a quick and reliable method for confirming dengue infection.^[11] This test was employed to ensure accurate and timely diagnosis for all participants in the study. Automated hematology analyzers were used to measure white blood cell counts and differential counts, and Leukopenia was defined as a total white blood cell count of less than 4,000-4500 cells/mm³. The presence and severity of leukopenia were recorded for each patient. Thrombocytopenia, or low platelet count, was classified as mild if the platelet count was between 100,000 and 150,000 platelets/mm³, moderate if the count was between 50,000 and 99,999 platelets/mm³, and severe if the count was less than 50,000 platelets/mm³, for both adults and children.^[12]

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0. Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, and categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. The association between leukopenia and independent variables was evaluated using chi-square tests for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables. Sensitivity and specificity of leukopenia in diagnosing dengue were calculated, and odds ratios for various factors were assessed using logistic regression. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their guardians before enrollment. Confidentiality and privacy of patient data were maintained throughout the study.

RESULTS

In this study of 100 dengue patients, the average age was 29.5 years, with a standard deviation of 18.3 years and an age range of 2 to 87 years. The leukopenia group (n = 78)had a slightly higher mean age of 30.2 years compared to the non-leukopenia group (n = 22), which had a mean age of 27.2 years, though this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.523). The gender distribution showed a slight male predominance, with 56% of the total participants being male. In the leukopenia group, 55.1% were male, while in the non-leukopenia group, 59.1% were male, with no significant difference between the groups (p = 0.726). All participants experienced fever, a universal symptom of dengue in this cohort. Other common symptoms included headache, reported by 82% of patients, with similar prevalence in both the leukopenia (82.1%) and non-leukopenia (81.8%) groups (p = 0.826). Myalgia was noted in 75% of patients, slightly more common in the leukopenia group (76.9%) compared to the non-leukopenia group (68.2%), but this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.447). Rash was observed in 41% of patients, with 42.3% in the leukopenia group and 36.4% in the non-leukopenia group, also showing no significant difference (p = 0.709). The mean duration of fever was 5.5 days, with a standard deviation of 1.5 days. The leukopenia group had a slightly longer average fever duration of 5.7 days compared to 5.1 days in the nonleukopenia group, although this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.155). [Table 1]

The study analyzed key hematological parameters among 100 dengue patients, differentiating between those with and without leukopenia. The mean white blood cell (WBC) count for the entire cohort was 3,224.4 cells/mm³, with a range from 760 to 8,900 cells/mm³. A significant difference was observed between the leukopenia group and the non-leukopenia group, with mean WBC counts of $2,539.9 \pm 828.1$ cells/mm³ and $5,650.9 \pm 1,329.3$ cells/mm³, respectively, indicating a highly significant reduction in WBCs in the leukopenia group (p < 0.0001). The mean platelet count was 115.9 x 10³/mm³, ranging from 9 to 430 x 10³/mm³, with nearly identical mean values for both the leukopenia group (115.9 \pm 79.5 x 10^{3} /mm³) and the non-leukopenia group (116.3 ± 56.4 x 10^{3} /mm³), resulting in no significant difference (p = 0.979). Hemoglobin levels across the cohort averaged 12.6 g/dL with a range of 7 to 18 g/dL. Regarding thrombocytopenia severity, 22% of patients experienced mild thrombocytopenia, with 23.1% in the leukopenia group and 18.2% in the non-leukopenia group (p = 0.237). Moderate thrombocytopenia was present in 27% of patients, with 29.5% in the leukopenia group compared to 18.2% in the non-leukopenia group. Severe thrombocytopenia was observed in 21% of the cohort, occurring in 16.7% of the leukopenia group and notably higher at 36.4% in the non-leukopenia group. Thrombocytopenia was absent in 30% of patients, with similar distributions in both the leukopenia (30.7%) and non-leukopenia (27.2%) groups. [Table 2]

The outcomes of the 100 dengue patients were assessed with respect to hospital stay, recovery rate, and complications, comparing the leukopenia and nonleukopenia groups. The mean duration of hospital stay was 7.6 \pm 2.2 days overall. Patients in the leukopenia group had a significantly longer average hospital stay of 8.7 ± 2.1 days compared to 6.3 ± 1.6 days in the nonleukopenia group (p = 0.025), indicating that leukopenia was associated with prolonged hospitalization. The overall recovery rate was 85%, with 79.5% in the leukopenia group and a significantly higher rate of 95.5% in the nonleukopenia group (p = 0.041). This suggests that patients without leukopenia had a better recovery outcome. Complications were more prevalent in the leukopenia group. Bleeding occurred in 16% of the total cohort, with a higher incidence in the leukopenia group (17.9%) compared to the non-leukopenia group (9.1%), showing a significant association (p = 0.011). Shock was reported in 11% of patients, with similar rates between the leukopenia (11.5%) and non-leukopenia groups (9.1%), resulting in no significant difference (p = 0.231). Organ failure was observed in 6% of patients, occurring only in the leukopenia group (7.7%), with no cases in the nonleukopenia group, reflecting a significant difference (p = 0.034). [Table 3]

The logistic regression analysis examined various factors to determine their association with the likelihood of having dengue fever. Leukopenia had an odds ratio (OR) of 3.51, suggesting that patients with leukopenia were 3.51 times more likely to have dengue; however, this was not statistically significant (95% CI: 0.81 - 6.85, p = 0.202). Age showed an OR of 1.02, indicating a minimal, non-significant increase in odds with each additional year (95% CI: 0.98 - 1.06, p = 0.251). Gender had an OR of 1.13, implying no significant difference in the likelihood of having dengue between males and females (95% CI: 0.62 - 2.05, p = 0.721). The platelet count was significantly associated with the likelihood of dengue, with an OR of 0.97, indicating that higher platelet counts were associated with a reduced likelihood of having dengue (95% CI: 0.95 - 0.99, p = 0.011). The presence of a rash was associated with an OR of 1.55, suggesting that patients with a rash were 1.55 times more likely to have dengue, although this was not statistically significant (95% CI: 0.83 - 2.83, p = 0.181). [Table 4]

The diagnostic performance of leukopenia in relation to the Dengue card test was assessed using sensitivity and specificity analysis. Sensitivity, which reflects the ability of leukopenia to correctly identify patients with dengue, was calculated to be 93.3%. This indicates that 93.3% of patients with dengue were correctly identified as having leukopenia. Specificity, which reflects the ability of leukopenia to correctly identify patients without dengue, was found to be 68.0%. This means that 68.0% of patients without dengue were accurately identified as not having leukopenia. These results underscore that while leukopenia is highly sensitive, its moderate specificity suggests that it should be used in conjunction with other diagnostic methods for optimal accuracy. [Table 5]

Table 1: Baseline characteristics for the study participants (N=100)					
Variable	Total (n = 100)	Leukopenia Group (n = 78) Non-Leukopenia Group (n =		p-value	
	Frequency (%)/Mean ± SD				
Age (years)	29.5 ± 18.3	± 18.3 30.2 ± 17.7 27.2 ± 19.8		0.523	
Gender					
Male	56 (56.0)	43 (55.1)	13 (59.1)	0.726	
Female	44 (44.0)	35 (44.9)	9 (40.9)	0.720	

Symptoms				
Fever	100 (100.0)	78 (100.0)	22 (100.0)	1.000
Headache	82 (82.0)	64 (82.1)	18 (81.8)	0.826
Myalgia	75 (75.0)	60 (76.9)	15 (68.2)	0.447
Rash	41 (41.0)	33 (42.3)	8 (36.4)	0.709
Duration of Fever (days)	5.5 ± 1.5	5.7 ± 1.6	5.1 ± 1.4	0.155

Table 2. I aboratory parameters comp	rison for the study participants (N=100)
Table 2: Laboratory barameters comba	(1) = 100

Parameter	Total (n = 100)	Leukopenia Group (n = 78)	Non-Leukopenia Group (n = 22)	p-value	
Farameter	Frequency (%)/Mean ± SD (Range)				
WBC Count (cells/mm ³)	3224.4 ± 1608.1	2539.9 ± 828.1	5650.9 ± 1329.3	< 0.0001	
Platelet Count (x10 ³ /mm ³)	115.9 ± 74.8	115.9 ± 79.5	116.3 ± 56.4	0.979	
Thrombocytopenia Severity					
Mild	22 (22.0)	18 (23.1)	4 (18.2)		
Moderate	27 (27.0)	23 (29.5)	4 (18.2)	0.237	
Severe	21 (21.0)	13 (16.7)	8 (36.4)	0.257	
No	30 (30.0)	24 (30.7)	6 (27.2)		

Outcome	Total (n = 100)	Leukopenia Group (n = 78)	Non-Leukopenia Group (n = 22)	p-value		
Outcome		Frequency (%)/Mean ± SD				
Hospital Stay (days)	7.6 ± 2.2	8.7 ± 2.1	6.3 ± 1.6	0.025		
Recovery Rate	85 (85.0)	62 (79.5)	21 (95.5)	0.041		
	Complications					
Bleeding	16 (16.0)	14 (17.9)	2 (9.1)	0.011		
Shock	11 (11.0)	9 (11.5)	2 (9.1)	0.231		
Organ Failure	6 (6.0)	6 (7.7)	0 (0.0)	0.034		

	1		41 • • • • 1
Table 4: Logistic regression	i analysis for varion	s factors to determina	e their association denote
Tuble 4. Logistic regression	i analysis tor variou	s factors to acter min	c men association actigat

Tuble 4. Elogistic regression analysis for various factors to acterimite their association deligae				
Factor	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	p-value	
Leukopenia	3.51	0.81 - 6.85	0.202	
Age	1.02	0.98 - 1.06	0.251	
Gender	1.13	0.62 - 2.05	0.721	
Platelet Count	0.97	0.95 - 0.99	0.011	
Presence of Rash	1.55	0.83 - 2.83	0.181	

Table 5: Sensitivity and specificity of leukopenia in diagnosing dengue

Variable	Dengue Card Test Positive (n=75)	Dengue Card Test Negative (n=25)
Leukopenia Present (n=78)	70	8
Leukopenia Absent (n=22)	5	17

DISCUSSION

In this study, we explored the diagnostic value of leukopenia in dengue fever and compared its performance with the Dengue card test. Our findings elucidate the role of leukopenia in dengue diagnosis, its correlation with clinical and laboratory parameters, and its impact on patient outcomes.

Our analysis showed no significant differences in age, gender distribution, or common symptoms between the leukopenia and non-leukopenia groups. The mean age was similar across both groups (30.2 years vs. 27.2 years, p=0.523), and gender distribution was comparable (55.1% males vs. 59.1%, p=0.726). This finding aligns with previous studies, which have also reported no significant differences in age and gender distribution among dengue patients with or without leukopenia.^[13,14] The prevalence of symptoms such as fever, headache, myalgia, and rash was consistent across groups, reflecting a typical dengue symptom profile that is not influenced by leukopenia.^[15]

Our study found a significant difference in white blood cell (WBC) counts between the leukopenia

and non-leukopenia groups (2539.9 ± 828.1 cells/mm³ vs. 5650.9 ± 1329.3 cells/mm³, p<0.0001). This finding corroborates with other research, which highlights leukopenia as a common hematological abnormality in dengue fever, associated with a reduction in WBC counts.^[16] Conversely, platelet counts were similar between the groups $(115.9 \pm 79.5 \text{ x}10^3/\text{mm}^3 \text{ vs.} 116.3 \pm 56.4$ $x10^{3}$ /mm³, p=0.979), suggesting that while thrombocytopenia is a common feature in dengue, its presence does not significantly differentiate between leukopenia and non-leukopenia groups.^[17] The severity of thrombocytopenia was not significantly different between the groups, although a higher proportion of severe cases were observed in the non-leukopenia group, aligning with findings from other studies that indicate variable presentation of thrombocytopenia in dengue cases.^[18]

The leukopenia group had a significantly longer hospital stay (8.7 ± 2.1 days vs. 6.3 ± 1.6 days, p=0.025) and a lower recovery rate (79.5% vs. 95.5%, p=0.041), with increased complications such as bleeding and organ failure (17.9% vs. 9.1%, p=0.011; 7.7% vs. 0.0%, p=0.034). These results are

consistent with findings from other studies which suggest that leukopenia in dengue patients is associated with more severe disease outcomes and complications.^[19,20] The prolonged increased hospital stay and higher complication rates may be due to the increased severity of dengue cases in patients with leukopenia, reflecting the association between leukopenia and severe dengue manifestations.

Our odds ratio analysis revealed that leukopenia had an odds ratio of 3.51 (95% CI: 0.81 - 6.85, p=0.202), indicating a moderate association with dengue fever, though not statistically significant. This is consistent with some studies that have found varying degrees of association between leukopenia and dengue, suggesting that while leukopenia is a relevant marker, its diagnostic utility may be influenced by other factors.^[21] Platelet count, however, was a significant predictor with an odds ratio of 0.97 (95% CI: 0.95 - 0.99, p=0.011), underscoring its relevance in dengue diagnosis as previously documented.^[22]

The sensitivity of leukopenia for detecting dengue was calculated at 93.3%, indicating a high ability to correctly identify dengue cases. However, the specificity was 68.0%, suggesting that leukopenia may also be observed in some non-dengue cases. This moderate specificity aligns with previous research that has reported similar findings, where leukopenia, while highly sensitive, is not highly specific and should be used alongside other diagnostic methods.^[23,24] The high sensitivity demonstrates that leukopenia is a valuable marker for identifying dengue, but its moderate specificity indicates that it should not be relied upon solely for diagnosis.

CONCLUSION

Our study underscores the importance of leukopenia as a highly sensitive marker for dengue fever, although its moderate specificity suggests the need for a multi-faceted diagnostic approach. The association between leukopenia and more severe disease outcomes highlights its potential role in identifying severe cases of dengue. However, due to its moderate specificity, leukopenia should be complemented with other diagnostic tests to enhance diagnostic accuracy and patient management. These findings contribute to a more nuanced understanding of leukopenia's role in dengue fever and emphasize the need for integrated diagnostic strategies in clinical practice.

REFERENCES

 Schaefer TJ, Panda PK, Wolford RW. Dengue Fever. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024

- Tian N, Zheng JX, Guo ZY, et al. Dengue Incidence Trends and Its Burden in Major Endemic Regions from 1990 to 2019. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2022;7(8):180.
- Mutheneni SR, Morse AP, Caminade C, Upadhyayula SM. Dengue burden in India: recent trends and importance of climatic parameters. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2017;6(8):e70.
- Hadinegoro SR. The revised WHO dengue case classification: does the system need to be modified? Paediatr Int Child Health. 2012;32 Suppl 1(s1):33-8.
- Raafat N, Loganathan S, Mukaka M, Blacksell SD, Maude RJ. Diagnostic accuracy of the WHO clinical definitions for dengue and implications for surveillance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15(4):e0009359.
- Swain S, Bhatt M, Pati S, Soares Magalhaes RJ. Distribution of and associated factors for dengue burden in the state of Odisha, India during 2010-2016. Infect Dis Poverty. 2019;8(1):31.
- Chaloemwong J, Tantiworawit A, Rattanathammethee T, et al. Useful clinical features and hematological parameters for the diagnosis of dengue infection in patients with acute febrile illness: a retrospective study. BMC Hematol. 2018; 18:20.
- Ananda Rao A, U RR, Gosavi S, Menon S. Dengue Fever: Prognostic Insights from a Complete Blood Count. Cureus. 2020;12(11):e11594.
- Rao PN, van Eijk AM, Choubey S, et al. Dengue, chikungunya, and scrub typhus are important etiologies of non-malarial febrile illness in Rourkela, Odisha, India. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):572.
- Joshi AA, Gayathri BR, Gowda YR. The total leucocyte countits utility in dengue. Int J Adv Med. 2017; 4:1621-6.
- Dengue: Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment, Prevention and Control: New Edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
- Kim AH, Lee W, Kim M, Kim Y, Han K. White blood cell differential counts in severely leukopenic samples: a comparative analysis of different solutions available in modern laboratory hematology. Blood Res. 2014;49(2):120-6.
- Anker M, Arima Y. Male-female differences in the number of reported incident dengue fever cases in six Asian countries. Western Pac Surveill Response J. 2011;2(2):17-23.
- Zeb F, Haleem KS, Almuqbil M, et al. Age, gender, and infectious status-wise assessments of hematological parameters among patients with dengue infection. Heliyon. 2024;10(13):e34053.
- Ho TS, Wang SM, Lin YS, Liu CC. Clinical and laboratory predictive markers for acute dengue infection. J Biomed Sci. 2013;20(1):75.
- Azin FR, Gonçalves RP, Pitombeira MH, Lima DM, Branco IC. Dengue: profile of hematological and biochemical dynamics. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter. 2012;34(1):36-41.
- Gulabani PB, Buch AC, Nair GR, et al. Study of alteration of hematological and biochemical parameters among adult patients with dengue infection at a tertiary care hospital in Western Maharashtra. Int J Acad Med. 2023;9(4):216-23.
- Gupta M. K, Ram J, Rani P, Kumar K, Amrita. Study of Leukopenia as haematological parameter, to find it's diagnostic rationale in dengue patients. Indian J Pathol Oncol. 2018;5(4):609-12.
- Subhaschandra KS, Lokapur V, Nadig D, Prabhu MH, Masur D. Hematological parameters in dengue fever: A study in tertiary care hospital. Indian J Pathol Oncol. 2020;7(2):218-22.
- Asadollahi K, Hastings IM, Beeching NJ, Gill GV, Asadollahi P. Leukocytosis as an alarming sign for mortality in patients hospitalized in general wards. Iran J Med Sci. 2011;36(1):45-9.
- Moras E, Achappa B, Murlimanju BV, et al. Early diagnostic markers in predicting the severity of dengue disease. 3 Biotech. 2022;12(10):268.
- 22. Tejo AM, Hamasaki DT, Menezes LM, Ho YL. Severe dengue in the intensive care unit. J Intensive Med. 2023;4(1):16-33.
- Mart G, Malkan UY, Buyukasik Y. Determination of etiology in patients admitted due to isolated leukopenia. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022;101(33):e30116.
- Ahmad MH, Ibrahim MI, Mohamed Z, et al. The Sensitivity, Specificity and Accuracy of Warning Signs in Predicting Severe Dengue, the Severe Dengue Prevalence and Its Associated Factors. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(9):2018.